G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904-05
(Miscl.)

File No. 5-8

Serial Nos. 1-5

Papers Filed.

Month March 1908

SUBJECT.

File relating to the certain disturbances in the Sri Maha Swami Temple on the Baby Betta, Krishnarajapet

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

Rev. F. 640-1913. file 1-6

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject
In re the conduct of the Utsavams etc. of the Sri Mahadeswara Swamy temple on the Baby Betta, through Government agency.

LAST REFERENCE:—

Letter No. 167. — dated 3rd December 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

Sri Rama yogiswara, Dharmadarsi of the Sri Renana — Siddeswara Swamy temple at Clospet, petitioned to the Dewan of Mysore, stating that Sri Mahadeswara Swamy temple, an ancient institution, is situated on the Baby Betta, in the Krishnarajpet Taluk; that the Utsavam idol thereof was presented by His Highness the — late Maharaja of Mysore; that a large number of devotees, who assemble there during the Car-procession, make fair contributions to the Deity; and praying that the Utsavams may be

arranged to be performed through Government agency and Dharmadarsis appointed to supervise its affairs.

On the petitions, the Dewan was pleased to remark, "Muzrai Department, Please enquire and do the needful".

The petitions having been forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, that Officer has submitted the vernacular records, with a detailed report thereon.

The Amildar of Krishnarajpet Taluk, who conducted the enquiry, reports as follows:-

1) The quit-rent register does not show the existence of any cash grant or of Inam lands for this temple.

2) The management of the temple has not been vested in any person from the commencement. The petitioner himself appears to have been managing it for the last 2 years.

3). The petitioner has not rendered any accounts up to date to the Taluk

4) There are no references in the Taluk Cutcherry to show the income and expenditure

of the temple, and

(5) It is not feasible to arrange for the performance of Utsavams of the temple through Government agency from the Kanikes received by the devotees, as the amount received from this source is not sufficient.

The Deputy Commissioner, in reporting the foregoing facts, states that he fully endorses the views of the Amildar in the matter of taking the institution over to Government charge.

~~AV 15:12:04. Submitted for orders.~~

Rs

The institution appears to be an insignificant one which is conducted by private individuals without giving rise to any complaint. It is not endowed with any cash or land income and is not therefore a Mutt or Mutt institution. Under these circumstances it seems unnecessary for Govt to take up the management of this temple. The object of private enterprise need not, I think, be interfered with by Govt.

4623/6.2.05.

6/2/05

243/31-1-05.

Subd to the Govt through the 2nd Asst Agent 2/1/05

Asst Commr 1/2/05

4

Who are the persons intended? Have they been asked? What have they to say when wishes may be ascertained before formal orders are passed

7.II.05

Draft accepted
9/2/05

Rec'd
9-2-05

Draft submitted
9/2/05

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
~~Deputy~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore District

Dated

Despatched 21st October 1904

Received

General No. 1457
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1 Two.

Has the honour to
forward herewith ~~for~~
~~favour of report~~ two petitions
(regd nos 1552 & 1553 ~~dated~~ without
date) from Rama Gopeswara
Shanmadasse of the
Sri Ramasiddeswara
temple at Closepet, praying
that the retainers &c
of the Ganeshadeswara temple
on the Bandy Betta in the
Krishnarajpet

MGCPB—1000-21-1-1901

Taluk may be arranged to
be performed through Govt-
agency from the Amikas
received from the devotees and that Rajagopa and
some others may be
appointed as Dharma
darsees to the
said Institution

Requests information on
the following points :—

(i) Whether the temple
enjoys any cash or land grant
from Government.

(ii) Who manages the
temple affairs at present.

(iii) Has the been rendering
of receipts and expenditure
accounts to the Taluk Auditor.

(iv) What is the average
income of the temple for the
past three years and from
what sources — And what are the details of expenditure
as at-present incurred.

And (v) What is the Dy
Comm's opinion in the matter
of the petitioner's prayer —

An early reply is requested

By Order
20/10/04
Sec

8/10/04 (Dewan's order) Dft

1904-05

Re

From C Kantaraj Urs Esq BA. &c
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To Lt Ramtadasami Rao Esq BA
Secretary to Govt & Municipal
Depts

Ps
5/12/04
Refers

Dated 3rd December 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 167
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Furnishing certain information re the Ubavams &c of the Mahadeswara Swami temple on the Baby Betta in the Krishnarajpet Taluk. —

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore district
Muzrai Department.
Dated Mysore November 1904.

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esq, BA
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore in the Muzrai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your Cookie No. 1457 dated 20th/21st October 1904 calling for certain information re the Utsavamsthe of the Malakeswara Swami temple on the Babybetta in the Krishnarajpet Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire Correspondence ending with the Krishnarajpet Taluk Amildar's report No. 59 dated 4th November 1904 and to state as follows on the several questions raised in the above Cookie.

(1) The quit-rent register does not shew the existence of any Jaedik or of Inam land for this temple.

(2) The management of the temple has not been vested in any person from the commencement. The petitioner himself has been managing

81.

if for the last 2 years.

(3) The petitioner has not rendered any accounts up to date to the Taluk.

(4) There are no references in the Office to show the income of the temple.

(5) If is not feasible to arrange for the performance of the Ubayams of the temple through Government Agency from the Kanikes received by the devotees as the amount received in the form of Kanikes is not sufficient.

(6) I fully endorse the views of the Amildar in the matter of taking the Institution over to Government charge.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy Commr &
Asst Commr -

Dated 18th Feb: 1905 -

Despatched

Received

General No. 3094
Muzrai F. No. 94

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his letter No.
167 of 3rd December 1904
furnishing certain information
in the matter of conducting
the Utsavas of the Sri Mahalesvara
swamin temple on the Baby Betta
in the Krishnarajpet Taluk,
has the honour to observe
that the institution is
appears to be
one which is conducted
by private individuals, and
to request information

M.S.C.P.B.—1,000—5-10-04.

on the following points

(i) Are the persons named in Rangaswamy Gopiswara's petition having an interest ~~i) Who are the persons~~ in the institution? Are there any others ~~having interest to~~ who will undertake to keep up the temple in good working order.

ii) Have they been asked in the matter of the petitioners' request ~~that Govt should take up~~ the management of the institution?

iii) What have they to say?

x viz Rajappa Chikkappa Gowda Siddalingaiah Krishnamurthi Krishnamurthi

Their wishes should be ascertained & a further fuller report submitted at an early date, Orders of Govt!

2. The enclosures are returned herewith for ref & return

By order

Dewan's order

10/2/05

Secy

Mucy Dept

W 10/2/05 — M 10/2/05

From

To the Dy. commr.
Mysore Dist

Dated
Despatched 24th January 06
Received
General No. 316 2
No.

Enclosure No. One.

Has the honour to
~~Dr inviting reference~~
invite his attention
to his office no. 3034 of 18th
Feb. 1905 requesting ~~to furnish~~
certain inform. in the matter
of conducting the Utsavams
at the Sri Maheswara
Swamy temple on the Baby
Betta, Krishnarajpet taluk,
and to request that a reply may be sent at an
early date —

~~has the honor to forward~~
herewith A petition regd. no. 792
of 4th Jany. 1906 from Sri —
Ramaiyaiswara praying —
The deposit-amount consisting
of Kanikeseta, may be paid

~~towards the repairs to the~~

~~Compound wall of the temple~~. to him for repairing the compound wall of the Govt on the Sambulingaswamy hill is herewith forwarded for disposal

~~By order,~~

~~Secretary.~~

Encl: to the Petn

B—o

Dbl. 23/1/06

Secretary

Dbl

23/1/06

3244 Gen 3.2.06

58-04

Muz. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHAR
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

190

From

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated 25th August 06

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1331
M. No.

This Office No. 3034 dated 18th Feb 1905 requesting certain information re the conduct of Sitaramiah and the appointment of Dharmadarsis to Sri Mahadeswara ~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~ Swamis Temple at Baby Betta via the Krishnarajpet Taluk & subsequent reminder marginally noted

No. 3163 D/24.1.06

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request to be informed as to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

22/8/06

25/8/06

Muz. 1]

190

From

6/3

58-1904

To

The Dy. Commr
Mysore Distt

Dated

Despatched 16 3. 08

Received

General No. 5908

No. 58-4

Enclosure No. 9

In contn of this office Reminder no. 1331 Dy 25th August 1906, calling for certain information regarding the Sri Mahadeswaraswamy temple on Baby Betta in the Krishnarajpet Taluk, has the honor to forwd herewith the marginally-noted petitions from Srikanta Nageswara on the subject and to request that the enclosures

Nos. 3260 (A) HB) Dy 12-2-1908.

GPL—3,000—19-9-07

of the report already called for may kindly be expedited

By order

for Secretary.

4/3/08